UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

This space for Clerk's Office File Stamp

TO: U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE FROM: C Puttmann, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 4/23/2008		
CAS	SE NO.: <u>08cr383 J</u>	LS DOCUMENT FILED BY: Defendant
CASE TITLE: USA v. Gonzalez-Villa		
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Notice of Motion and Motion		
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:		
1	Local Rule	Discrepancy
X	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
	5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
$\bar{\Box}$	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
$\bar{\Box}$	7.1	Missing table of contents
$\bar{\Box}$	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
$\bar{\Box}$		Supplemental documents require court order
$\bar{\Box}$		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
		OTHER:
		Date forwarded: 4/24/2008
ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:		
	The document is to	be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
	The document is N copy of this order of	OT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a on all parties.
	Rejected document	t to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers
	Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties	
	to Local Rule 83.1	
	1	CHAMBERS OF: Sammar bino
	Dated: 425	08 By: <u>U</u>